



Practitioner's Docket No. P-1111B

**PATENT** 

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Yeping Cai, et. al.  Application No.: 10 / 669,977 Group No.: 1754
Filed: September 24, 2003 Examiner: not yet assigned
For: PROCESS FOR PRODUCTION OF A WATER GAS SHIFT CATALYST,
Confirmation No.: 4882 — Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
STATUS INQUIRY
WARINING: Submission of a status letter after a Notice of Allowance may subect an application to a reduction in patent term adjustment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(c)(10). See Notice of may 29, 2001, 1247 OG 111-112, June 26, 2001.
1. More/than 16 months have passed since
NEW APPLICATIONS
the filing of this application onSeptember 24, 2003
No communication has been received from the Patent and Trademark Office indicating action on this application.
☐ AMENDED APPLICATIONS
the filing of a response on
No further communication has been received from the Patent and Trademark Office.
☐ APPEALED APPLICATION
The Appeal Brief was filed on
CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10*
(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory;  Express Mail certification is optional.)
I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:
MAILING
deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a) 37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *
with sufficient postage as first class mail.   as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"
Mailing Label No (mandatory)
TRANSMISSION  I facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, (703)
Holly Hart
Signature Signature

Holly Hart

(type or print name of person certifying)

<sup>\*</sup>Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

		check and complete applicable items below)
		An Examiner's Answer was mailed on
		A Reply to the Examiner's Answer was submitted on
C	ALLOWED A	APPLICATIONS
•	the mailing	of FORM POL-327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on
anc app	TOPTIALE DOX DE	undersigned of the present status of this application, by checkielow. A stamped return-addressed envelope is provided.
NOTE.	follows:	8 Status Inquiries, 8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries
	"NEW APPLICATI	ON :
	in addition to a fi the need for sta or her new app exception, a sta	ing procedures now provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (To B7 in every case of allowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL- formal Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obvi- tus inquiries even as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe dication may have been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as tus inquiry would be appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received with form receipt of form PTOL-37.
	of the "oldest ne expected time for	ing procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examinat unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to transcript of when the examiners reach the applications or action.
	"Therefore, it sh	ould be rarely necessary to query the status of a new application.
	"AMENDED APPL	ICATIONS
	in order after rep A postcard recei will be considere filing of a reply, to the need for a p	cations are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed with the date the examiner receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is right by the attorney until 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office of for replies to Office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed prima facie proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the time he submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate tillow to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the top to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113."
	01.0/5	SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER
Reg. No.	.: 31,945	Scott R. Cox (type or print name of practitioner)
Геl. No.:	(502 ) 589-	-4215 <u>400 West Market St., Ste. 2200</u> P.O. Address

(Status Inquiry [9-3]—page 2 of 3)

FO	R	M	9-3	}

(Rel.95-7/03 Pub.605)

13

## STATUS INQUIRY REPLY

APPLIC	ATION	SERIAL NO. 0 /	/	IS CURRENTLY	
	ASS	GNED TO GROUP	·	AND AWAITS:	
		ACTION BY THE	E EXAMINER.		
		APPLICANT'S RI	ESPONSE TO THE	SE TO THE OFFICE ACTION MAILED	
			<del></del>		
APPEA	L NO.				
	IS A		BY THE BOARD O	F PATENT APPEALS AND INTER	FER
		DATE OF HEARING	G EXPECTED	•	
		DECISION EVECT	TEN		